

into Florida's economic and socio-political conditions, see below.

Each state scorecard and associated data can be downloaded separately.

22M

49%

STATE POPULATION


POPULATION OF COLOR



Economic Conditions

Economic conditions refer to individual's and communities' financial well-being and the factors that impact it.

RANK: 36TH OUT OF 50



ECONOMIC SECURITY

The ability to meet daily needs and sustain a comfortable standard of

8/30 воттом 20%

RANK: 42ND OUT OF 50



ECONOMIC MOBILITY

The ability to improve economic situation over time.

1/30 BOTTOM 40% RANK: 34TH OUT OF 50



Florida

Immediate Security Ability to meet current daily needs.	Intra-Generational Mobility Ability to increase income and wealth over the course of one's life.
RANK: 36TH OUT OF 50	RANK: 4TH OUT OF 50
Percentage households stating ability to meet weekly expenses 57%	Percentage households earning a thriving wage and able to save
Payagetage households chouge the AUGE Threshold	Percentage households owning their home
Percentage households above the ALICE Threshold 54%	65%
Percentage households with a bank account (not unbanked)	Small business ownership per 100,000 people
96%	13
Percentage people with a credit bureau record showing no debt in collections	Percentage people with retirement accounts
71%	53%
Percentage children living above the child poverty line	Percentage borrowers with student loan debt
82%	18%
	Later Comments and Machiller
Future Resilience Ability to sustain economic shocks and financial emergencies.	Inter-Generational Mobility Factors that support children having a better economic situation in adulthood than their
RANK: 40TH OUT OF 50	parents. RANK: 8TH OUT OF 50
Percentage people with a credit score above 600	Percentage children 3-5 years old enrolled in school
73.2%	61%
Percentage households spending no more than 30% income on rent	Average 4th grade reading level (out of 238)
39.7%	225
Percentage workers represented by a union	Number of births per 1,000 teenage females
6.1%	13.1
Percentage households not avoiding healthcare due to cost reasons	Percentage children completing high school
90.7%	87%
Percentage women of reproductive age not living in contraceptive deserts	Percentage completion of post-secondary education 34%
70.1%	34%
Enabling Context	Enabling Context
Systems that Support Economic Security.	Systems that support Economic Mobility.
RANK: 47TH OUT OF 50	RANK: 48TH OUT OF 50
Percentage gap between current benefit program funding/participation vs max. impact	State spending per capita on public goods for economic mobility (,000s)
30%	9.35
Worker protection policies and collective bargaining laws index (0-10)	Percentage people living in high mobility census tracts
4.49	6%
Affordable available housing units per 100 households with extremely low income	Percentage of financial aid that is need-based
25	29%
Percentage household median income consumed by childcare costs	Percentage households with multiple earners 49%
Tax inequality index -9%	Economic Connectedness Score 0.66



People in every state struggle to exercise economic and political control over their lives, Florida ranked 33rd in the Dēmos Power Scorecard. For more insight into Florida's economic and socio-political conditions, see below.

Each state scorecard and associated data can be downloaded separately.

22M

49%

STATE POPULATION

POPULATION OF COLOR




Socio-Political Conditions

Socio-political conditions refers to factors that influence the political power, civic engagement, and well-being of individuals and communities.

24/60 MEDIAN

RANK: 25TH OUT OF 50



CIVIC VITALITY

The ability to live freely and develop connection to their place of life.

24/60 MEDIAN

RANK: 25TH OUT OF 50



DEMOCRATIC VITALITY

The ability to engage in our political process to determine who they are represented by and how they are served.

14/30 MEDIAN RANK: 26TH OUT OF 50



- Representative Elections
- Responsive Governance
- **Enabling Context**

Basic Liberties Ability to live freely and safely while expressing one's identities, opinions, and belief	fs.	Representative Elections Experience and participate in inclusive electoral processes.	
RANK: 13TH OUT OF 50		RANK: 49TH OUT OF 50	
Rate of reported violent crime per 100,000 people	259	Percentage voting age population eligible to vote	899
Rate of reported hate crimes per 100,000 people	1	Percentage of uncontested elections (federal, state and local)	409
Rate of incarceration per 100,000 people	367	Redistricting Report Card score (0-5)	
Police violence index	60%	Voter turnout rate: Presidential elections and mid-term elections	569
Inclusiveness Index	55%	Voter turnout rate: gubernatorial elections	269
Community Cohesion Ability to shape and stay connected to local community RANK: 35TH OUT OF 50		Responsive Governance Experience governance responsive to the needs of its constituents. RANK: 12TH OUT OF 50	
Rate of youth disconnection	12%	Ratio of percentage POC state legislators to percentage POC population	0.5
Percentage counties with more than one local news source or outlet	54%	Percentage women state legislators	419
Percentage engaged in a public meeting or with a public official	7%	Ratio of legislator compensation to median income	0.4
Percentage members of community organizations or spent time volunteering	18%	Presence of state open data laws	
Community-Based Development Organizations per 100,000 people	1.37	Presence of direct democracy initiatives (0-3)	
Enabling Context Social and political environment that supports free, safe, and interconnected communities. RANK: 32TH OUT OF 50		Enabling Context Systems that facilitate political participation and compel government accountability. RANK: 18TH OUT OF 50	
Anti-protest laws index (0-2)	2	Democracy Subversion Protections index (1-5)	
Gini index	0.49	Voter suppression and expansion law index (0-9)	3.2
Percentage of residents living in racially diverse census tracts	64%	Lobby transparency index (0-20)	1
Percentage of residents in poverty NOT living in persistently high poverty neighborhoods	EAN	Presence of small donor financing programs	
Civic and democratic grant spending per capita (in 000s)	54%	State limits on corporate contributions to candidates	