

People in every state struggle to exercise economic and political control over their lives, Arizona ranked 31st in the Dēmos Power Scorecard. For more insight into Arizona's economic and socio-political conditions, see below.

Each state scorecard and associated data can be downloaded separately.

7M

48%

STATE POPULATION


POPULATION OF COLOR



Economic Conditions

Economic conditions refer to individual's and communities' financial well-being and the factors that impact it.

RANK: 37TH OUT OF 50



ECONOMIC SECURITY

The ability to meet daily needs and sustain a comfortable standard of

9/30 воттом 40% RANK: 38TH OUT OF 50



ECONOMIC MOBILITY

The ability to improve economic situation over time.

О/30 воттом 40% RANK: 38TH OUT OF 50



| Immediate Security Ability to meet current daily needs. | | Intra-Generational Mobility Ability to increase income and wealth over the course of one's life. | |
|---|--------------|---|-------|
| RANK: 30TH OUT OF 50 | | RANK: 30TH OUT OF 50 | |
| Percentage households stating ability to meet weekly expenses | | Percentage households earning a thriving wage and able to save | |
| | 60% | | 10% |
| Percentage households above the ALICE Threshold | | Percentage households owning their home | |
| | 59% | | 65% |
| Percentage households with a bank account (not unbanked) | | Small business ownership per 100,000 people | |
| | 96% | | 8 |
| Percentage people with a credit bureau record showing no debt in collections | | Percentage people with retirement accounts | |
| | 73% | | 59% |
| Percentage children living above the child poverty line | | Percentage borrowers with student loan debt | |
| | 83% | | 19% |
| | | | |
| Future Resilience | | Inter-Generational Mobility | |
| Ability to sustain economic shocks and financial emergencies. | | Factors that support children having a better economic situation in adulthood than the parents. | ieir |
| RANK: 42TH OUT OF 50 | | RANK: 47TH OUT OF 50 | |
| Percentage people with a credit score above 600 | | Percentage children 3-5 years old enrolled in school | |
| | 73.6% | | 47% |
| Percentage households spending no more than 30% income on rent | | Average 4th grade reading level (out of 238) | |
| | 47.1% | | 215 |
| Percentage workers represented by a union | | Number of births per 1,000 teenage females | |
| _ | 4.8% | | 15.1 |
| Percentage households not avoiding healthcare due to cost reasons | | Percentage children completing high school | |
| | 89.5% | | 77% |
| Percentage women of reproductive age not living in contraceptive deserts | | Percentage completion of post-secondary education | |
| | 68% | | 33% |
| | | | |
| Enabling Context Systems that Support Economic Security. | | Enabling Context Systems that support Economic Mobility. | |
| RANK: 42TH OUT OF 50 | | RANK: 36TH OUT OF 50 | |
| 1,411,4211,601,61,60 | | | |
| Percentage gap between current benefit program funding/participation vs max. in | mpact 36% | State spending per capita on public goods for economic mobility (,000s) | 12.66 |
| | 00% | 2 3 | |
| Worker protection policies and collective bargaining laws index (0-10) | 5.02 | Percentage people living in high mobility census tracts | 11% |
| | | | 6.55 |
| Affordable available housing units per 100 households with extremely low income | 24 | Percentage of financial aid that is need-based | 100% |
| | 24 | | |
| Percentage household median income consumed by childcare costs | 9% | Percentage households with multiple earners | 49% |
| | 9% | | |
| Tax inequality index | - 604 | Economic Connectedness Score | 0.69 |
| | -6% | | 0.09 |



People in every state struggle to exercise economic and political control over their lives, Arizona ranked 31st in the Dēmos Power Scorecard. For more insight into Arizona's economic and socio-political conditions, see below.

Each state scorecard and associated data can be downloaded separately.

7M STATE POPULATION 48%

POPULATION OF COLOR

♀ AGGREGATE CONDITIONS 42/120 воттом 40% RANK: 31ST OUT OF 50 **& ECONOMIC CONDITIONS** 17/60 воттом 40% RANK: 37TH OUT OF 50 **88 SOCIO-POLITICAL CONDITIONS**

26/60 MEDIAN

Socio-Political Conditions

Socio-political conditions refers to factors that influence the political power, civic engagement, and well-being of individuals and communities.

RANK: 21ST OUT OF 50



CIVIC VITALITY

The ability to live freely and develop connection to their place of life.

RANK: 21ST OUT OF 50



DEMOCRATIC VITALITY

The ability to engage in our political process to determine who they are represented by and how they are served.

16_{/30} TOP 40% RANK: 16TH OUT OF 50

 Representative Elections Responsive Governance **Enabling Context**

| Basic Liberties | | Representative Elections | |
|--|--------------|---|------|
| Ability to live freely and safely while expressing one's identities, opinions, and belief | fs. | Experience and participate in inclusive electoral processes. | |
| RANK: 45TH OUT OF 50 | | RANK: 28TH OUT OF 50 | |
| Rate of reported violent crime per 100,000 people | 432 | Percentage voting age population eligible to vote | 91% |
| Rate of reported hate crimes per 100,000 people | 3 | Percentage of uncontested elections (federal, state and local) | 40% |
| Rate of incarceration per 100,000 people | 453 | Redistricting Report Card score (0-5) | Ę |
| Police violence index | 41% | Voter turnout rate: Presidential elections and mid-term elections | 64% |
| Inclusiveness Index | 55% | Voter turnout rate: gubernatorial elections | 25% |
| Community Cohesion Ability to shape and stay connected to local community | | Responsive Governance Experience governance responsive to the needs of its constituents. | |
| RANK: 25TH OUT OF 50 | | RANK: 15TH OUT OF 50 | |
| Rate of youth disconnection | 12% | Ratio of percentage POC state legislators to percentage POC population | 0.85 |
| Percentage counties with more than one local news source or outlet | 80% | Percentage women state legislators | 48% |
| Percentage engaged in a public meeting or with a public official | | Ratio of legislator compensation to median income | |
| | 8% | | 0.5 |
| Percentage members of community organizations or spent time volunteering | 25% | Presence of state open data laws | (|
| Community-Based Development Organizations per 100,000 people | 1.06 | Presence of direct democracy initiatives (0-3) | 2 |
| Enabling Context Social and political environment that supports free, safe, and interconnected communities. RANK: 26TH OUT OF 50 | | Enabling Context Systems that facilitate political participation and compel government accountability. RANK: 20TH OUT OF 50 | |
| Anti-protest laws index (0-2) | 1 | Democracy Subversion Protections index (1-5) | |
| Gini index | 0.47 | Voter suppression and expansion law index (0-9) | 3.75 |
| Percentage of residents living in racially diverse census tracts | 62% | Lobby transparency index (0-20) | 7.5 |
| Percentage of residents in poverty NOT living in persistently high poverty neighborhoods | 41% | Presence of small donor financing programs | 3. |
| Civic and democratic grant spending per capita (in 000s) | 417 0 | State limits on corporate contributions to candidates | |